

United States Patent and Trademark Office

gen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,376	09/02/2004	Geoffrey Harding	DE 020040	1184
24737	7590 04/12/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			YUN, JURIE	
P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIF	001 FMANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	
		DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/506,376	HARDING ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jurie Yun	2882		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the maximum statutory between the control of	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>O2</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, p			
Disnositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2-4 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and con Papers The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on 02 September 2004 Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Secution is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/2/04</u> .	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/506,376 Page 2

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it consists of more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,961,408 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of the instant application is anticipated by claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,961,408 B2 in that claim 1 of the instant application is broader.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,376 Page 3

Art Unit: 2882

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art fails to disclose a device for generating X-rays, characterized in that opposite to the window the constriction is bounded by a wall which tapers relative to the window, seen in an upstream direction opposite to the flow direction, as claimed in claim
- 2. Claims 3 and 4 are allowable due to their dependency on claim 2.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jurie Yun whose telephone number is 571 272-2497. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on 571 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,376 Page 4

Art Unit: 2882

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jurie Yun

April 5, 2006